

Course description for the PhD course, “Analyzing the language of truth and deception”, 7.5 ECTS credits

Instructors: Maria Koptjevskaja Tamm (MKT), Henrik Bergqvist (HB), Julia Uddén (JU), Charlotta Seiler Brylla (CSB), Anders Shoubye (AS)

L = lecture; La = laboration; S = seminar

All the meetings will take place in C315 at the Department of Linguistics, with the exception of La1 (SUBIC).

Lecture	Date / Time	Instructors	Description	Literature
L1	Tue 17/1, 13–16	AS	Introduction, part 1 Philosophy of language on truth, lies, and assertion	Saul, J. 2015
L2	Wed 18/1, 09–12	MKT/HB JU	Introduction, part 2 Cross-linguistic and inter-cultural perspectives on truth, lies, and knowledge Experimental and cognitive-scientific perspectives on pragmatics	Wierzbicka 1997 (select chapters), Meibauer 2018 Bašnáková et al. 2014, Scott-Phillips. 2015, Wilson & Sperber, 2004
L3	Mo 30/1 13–16	MKT	Lexical typology: words for ‘lies’, ‘truth’, and related concepts, in various languages and cultural models/scripts	Chen et al. 2013, Danziger 2010, Hardin 2010, Kwiatkowska 2015, Sweetser 1987, Wierzbicka 2002
L4	Tue 31/1 09–12	HB	Grammatical typology: how speakers qualify utterances with respect to the distribution of knowledge between the speech participants, and the speaker’s attention to the hearer	Evans et al. 2018a, Heritage 2012, Holmes 1986, Hyland 2005, Mushin 2015
L5	Tue 31/1 13–15	CSB	Discourse linguistic tools for analyzing truth, lies, and deception: presuppositions, key words, metaphors, discourse positions, frames, intertextuality	Spitzmüller & Warnke 2011, Wodak & Meyer 2016, Reisigl 2020
La1	Mo 13/2 13–15	JU	Lab 1. Behavioural experiment. The students participate in a behavioural experiment on pragmatic ability. Visit to SUBIC.	Holler et al. 2019 Clark & Murphy, 1983 Hellbernd & Sammler, 2019
La2	Tue 14/2 10–12	JU	Lab 2. The students analyze their own data from the behavioural experiment in La 1. Discussion of experiments regarding the effect of language on thought. The lab is examined by a report on the analysis, including a critique on experimental design from the point of view of theory.	Rubio-Fernández, P. 2017 Lupyan, G. et al. 2020
S1	Mo 6/3 13–16	MKT/HB JU	Cross-linguistic and inter-cultural perspectives: application and practice Psychological perspectives on bullshit	Goffman 1981, Stivers et al. 2011, TBA Pennycook et al. 2015

S2	Tue 7/3 09–12	CSB	Critical discourse analysis: application and practice	Spitzmüller & Warnke 2011, Wodak & Meyer 2016, Koller 2020
S3	Tue 7/3 13–16	MKT/HB	Presentations	

References

- Bašnáková, J., Weber, K., Petersson, K. M., van Berkum, J., & Hagoort, P. 2014. Beyond the language given: The neural correlates of inferring speaker meaning. *Cerebral Cortex*, 24(10), 2572–2578.
- Chen R, Hu C, He L. 2013. Lying between English and Chinese: an intercultural comparative study. *Intercultural Pragmatics* 10, 375–401.
- Clark, H. H., & Murphy, G. L. (1983). Audience design in meaning and reference. In J. F. LeNy and W. Kintsch (Eds.), *Language and comprehension*, 287–299, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co.
- Danziger E. 2010. On trying and lying: cultural configurations of Grice's Maxim of Quality. *Intercultural Pragmatics* 7, 199–219.
- Evans, N. R., H. Bergqvist & L. San Roque. 2018a. The grammar of engagement I: framework and initial exemplification. *Language and Cognition* 10(1). 110–140.
- Goffman, E. 1981. *Forms of Talk*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Hardin, Karol J. 2010. The Spanish notion of *lie*: Revisiting Coleman and Kay. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42: 3199–3213.
- Hellbernd, N., & Sammler, D. 2016. Prosody conveys speaker's intentions: Acoustic cues for speech act perception. *Journal of Memory and Language* 88, 70–86.
- Heritage, J. 2012. Epistemics in Action: Action Formation and Territories of Knowledge. *Research on Language & Social Interaction* 45, 1–29.
- Holmes, J. 1986. Compliments and compliment responses in New Zealand English. *Anthropological linguistics* 28(4), 485–508.
- Holler, J., & Levinson, S. C. (2019). Multimodal language processing in human communication. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 23(8), 639-652.
- Hyland, K. 2005. Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse studies* 7(2), 173–192.
- Koller, V. 2020. Analysing metaphor in discourse. In Hart, C. (Ed.), *Researching Discourse: A Student Guide*. London: Routledge.
- Kwiatkowska, A. 2015. How Do Others Deceive? Cultural Aspects of Lying and Cheating. In Kwiatkowska, A. & A. Łukasik (Eds.), *The small and big deceptions: In psychology and evolutionary sciences perspective*. Rzeszów: Wyd. UR.
- Lupyan, G., Rahman, R. A., Boroditsky, L., & Clark, A. 2020. Effects of language on visual perception. *Trends in cognitive sciences*. (in press)
- Meibauer, J. 2018. The Linguistics of Lying. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 4:1, 357–375.
- Pennycook, G., Cheyne, J. A., Barr, N., Koehler, D. J., & Fugelsang, J. A. 2015. On the reception and detection of pseudo-profound bullshit. *Judgment and Decision making*, 10(6), 549–563.
- Reisigl, M. 2020. “‘Narrative!’ I can’t hear that anymore’. A linguistic critique of an overstretched umbrella term in cultural and social science studies, discussed with the example of the discourse on climate change, *Critical Discourse Studies*, 18:3, 368-386, DOI: 10.1080/17405904.2020.1822897
- Rubio-Fernández, P. 2017. The director task: A test of Theory-of-Mind use or selective attention? *Psychon Bull Rev*, 24(4), 1121–1128.
- Saul, J. 2015. *Lying, Misleading, and What is Said*. Oxford University Press

- Scott-Phillips. 2015. Nonhuman Primate Communication, Pragmatics, and the Origins of Language. *Current Anthropology* 56, 56–80
- Spitzmüller, J. & Warnke, I.H. 2011. Discourse as linguistic object. Methodical and methodological delimitations. I: *Critical discourse studies* 8:2: 75–94.
- Stivers, T., L. Mondada & J. Steensig (eds.). 2011. *The Morality of Knowledge in Conversation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sweetser, E. E. 1987. The definition of "lie": An examination of the folk models underlying a semantic prototype. In D. Holland & N. Quinn (Eds.), *Cultural models in language and thought*, 43–66, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wierzbicka, A. 1997. *Understanding Cultures through Their Key Words: English, Russian, Polish, German, and Japanese*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Wierzbicka, A. 2002. Russian cultural scripts: The theory of cultural scripts and its applications. *Ethos* 30(4), 401–432.
- Wilson, D, & Sperber, D. 2004. Relevance theory. *Handbook of Pragmatics*, 607–632
- Wodak, R. & Meyer, M. 2016. Critical discourse studies: History, agenda, theory and methodology. In: Wodak & Meyer (eds.), *Methods of Critical Discourse Studies*. 3rd ed. Los Angeles et al: SAGE, 1–22.